

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/811,534	SCHMILOVITCH ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Gordon J. Stock	2877	

All Participants:

Status of Application: after nonfinal

(1) Gordon J. Stock.

(3) _____

(2) Attorney Larry Nixon.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 1 February 2007

Time: 8:40 am

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

11, 19, 21, 26

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: An Examiner's Amendment was discussed to correct potential objections to the claims for having a lack of antecedent basis. Specifically, claim 11 will have 'at least one of the components' read -at least one component; claim 19 will have 'the component' read -the at least one component-; claim 21 will have 'said component' and 'said components' read -said at least one component- and -said at least one component- respectively; and claim 26 will have 'the milking process' read -a milking process-. See Examiner's Amendment attached...